

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/900,344	CALDWELL ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Matthew O Savage	1723

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Matthew O Savage. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. William Lewis III. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 April 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5-7, 11-14, and proposed new claims 15-26.

Prior art documents discussed:

*Beer et al*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner noted that amended claims 1 and 7 were anticipated by Beer. Mr. Lewis agreed to cancel claims , 5-7, and 11-14, and to accept new claims 15-26 proposed by the examiner to patently distinguish over Beer et al. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details. .